IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.4340 OF 2005

Robert Basil Baptista
and Anr. ..Applicants
Vs.
State of Maharashtra ..Respondents

...

Mr. A.P.Mundergi with Mr.Sudatta Patil Advocate for Applicants
Mr. Shekhar Ingawale Advocate for original complainant
Mr.Rajesh More A.P.P. for the State

...

CORAM: SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI,J.
DATE : JULY 12,2005

P.C.

1. The applicants who are original accused in CR No. 56 of 2005, preferred this application for quashing of C.R.No.56 of 2005 lodged at Sahar Police Station. The said case is under Sections 3(1)(x) and 14 and 15 of the Prevention of Atrocities Act.

2. I have heard the learned advocate for the applicants, the learned advocate for the original complainant and the learned A.P.P. for the State. I have also heard the complainant Dashrath Satpute

and his wife Lata Satpute who are present in person before the Court. The complainant and his wife have tendered affidavit which is taken on record and marked ‘X’ for identification. The complainant and his wife in the said affidavit have stated that they have no grievance against the applicants and they do not intend to prosecute the applicants.

3. Looking to the fact that the complainant and the applicants have amicably sorted out their differences,in order to maintain peace and amicable relations between the parties and looking to the fact that the complainant does not wish to prosecute his complaint, I am inclined to quash the proceedings relating to C.R.No. 56 of 2005 registered at Sahar Police Station Mumbai. Accordingly, the proceedings arising out of C.R.No. 56 of 2005 of Sahar Police Station, are quashed and set aside.

4. Application is disposed of.

[V.K.TAHILRAMANI,J.]